

Deborah.E.Jutaz@fakeaddress.net on 09/07/2001 07:12:43 AM

RECEIVED

To: EISR, Bonnie Fogdall/YM/RWDOE

cc:

SEP 07 2001

Subject: SDEIS Comment

Part of Records Package / Supplement / Correction

September 07, 2001 07:12:43
IP address: 161.49.21.100
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> Commentors Name: Deborah E Jutaz > Organization: > Position:
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> The Commentors Address:> 245 East 11th Street, apt.4K> New York , New York 10003
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> Email Information:> divaded@yahoo.com> Add commentor to the mailing list : yes
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> Contact Information:> fax number :> phone number :> organization :> position :
> Comment Text : I realize that the safe storage of our left overs is important. Finding a relativel harmless area to store nuclear toxic waste must be difficult. I do not think the right decision is the Yucca Mountain in Nevada.

I have recently read reports about the Earthquake prone area having minor problems with their water reserves/ground water based on earth movement. It would be a greater mistake to place toxic waste in such a volatile area. Cleanup would be almost impossible, not to mention expensive.

It is not worth putting more lives at risk. Lives that can not even be predicted or warned if groundwater does become contaminated.

You are in the position to make decisions for the future livilhood of not only an area, but ever family and animal within that area. Please imagine being on the other side of the equation before making a decision. Picture your family living, playing, growing old in an area next to an earthquake prone toxic dump without knowing the danger that exists. Explore the regret and guilt you would have as a parent and a spouse when you watch your family suffer the lifetime defects and diseases caused by a

	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
	d.e.jutaz
	Sincerely yours,
1 cont.	
1 00nt	radioactive mountain and a plan not well thought through.